Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the

present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and

amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Claim 1 has been amended to provide the antecedent basis.

Claims 1-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clarke et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 5,139,850). For the following reasons, the examiner's rejection is respectfully

traversed.

Clarke does not disclose or teach the steps of "laminating a cushioned conductive

adhesive tape by using an insulation adhesive layer to a rim portion of said window face member

provided with said conductive shielding layer in such a manner that predetermined areas thereof

oppose each other" and "fixing said window face member .. by bringing into close adhesion a

conductive window frame member through an insulation layer" as recited in claim 1.

Clarke discloses that four strips of conductive mesh are laid along the edges of an

uncoated glass pane, and then a plastic layer placed over the uncoated glass pane is welded to the

conductive mesh (col. 4, lines 45-62). In Clarke, a coated glass pane is then placed over the

plastic layer and conductive mesh of the uncoated glass pane (col. 4, lines 61-68). The resulting

Clarke laminate is then placed in a window frame.

The Clarke conductive mesh is not conductive adhesive tape, and Clarke does not

disclose using an insulation adhesive layer. Therefore, Clarke does not disclose or teach

laminating a conductive tape by using an insulation adhesive layer to a window face member.

In Clarke, the plastic layer and conductive mesh are placed on the uncoated glass pane

Page 7 of 9

and not the coated glass pane. Thus, Clarke fails to disclose or suggest laminating a conductive

tape to a window face member provided with a conductive shielding layer.

The Clarke conductive mesh is only laid along the edges of the glass pane and areas of

the mesh do not oppose each other. Therefore, Clarke does not disclose or suggest laminating

a conductive tape to a window face member in such a manner that predetermined areas of the

conductive tape oppose each other.

Although the Clarke laminate is placed in a window frame, Clarke does not disclose that

the window frame is fixed to the laminate through an insulation layer. Thus, Clarke fails to

disclose or suggest fixing the window face member by bringing into close adhesion a window

frame through an insulation layer.

Therefore, at least for the reasons mentioned above, Clarke does not disclose or teach all

the elements of claim 1.

With regards to claim 2, Clarke does not disclose or teach "by using an insulation

adhesive, a cushioned conductive tape is placed next to said insulation layer at said rim portion

of said window face member provided with said conductive shielding layer in such a manner that

predetermined areas thereof on said rim portion oppose each other" as recited in claim 2.

Clarke discloses four edge strips of conductive mesh imbedded in a plastic layer between

an uncoated glass pane and a coated glass pane.

The Clarke conductive mesh is not a conductive adhesive tape, and Clarke does not

disclose an insulation adhesive layer. Therefore, Clarke does not disclose or teach an insulation

adhesive layer with conductive tape next to an insulation layer at a rim portion of the window

face member. The Clarke conductive mesh is also only along the edges of the glass pane and

does not oppose each other. Therefore, Clarke does not disclose or teach a conductive tape

Page 8 of 9

Appl. No. 10/809,086

Amdt. Dated March 16, 2005

Reply to Office action of December 16, 2004

placed in such a manner that predetermined areas of the conductive tape oppose each other.

Therefore, Clarke does not disclose or teach all the element of the claimed invention.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone

interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 36607.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:

Suzanne B. Gagnon, Reg. No. 48924

1801 East 9th Street Suite 1200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: March 16, 2005